Date: Wed, 10 Aug 94 04:30:13 PDT

From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #364

To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Wed, 10 Aug 94 Volume 94 : Issue 364

Today's Topics:

ITU Treaty

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Tue, 9 Aug 94 21:23:38 -0500

From: news.delphi.com!usenet@uunet.uu.net

Subject: ITU Treaty
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Stan Olochwoszcz N2AYJ <n2ayj@n2ayj.overleaf.com> writes:

>>So, you take your basic "intro" examination on station safety and >>FCC rules and regulations, then you pick the modes you wish to operate >>and take an examination on that mode.

This was the ARRL's idea in petitioning for incentive licensing in 1963. They wanted to return to the era when o{the predecessor of the general license alowed for cw operation (plus 2 relatively undesirable phone bands). If you took an additional exam on radiotelephone subjects, you would gain access to the more desirable phone ban

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #364 ***********